



# 1999 Annual Report



NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE



# CHARITABLE GAMING DIVISION ANNUAL REPORT 1999

In compliance with Chapter 9, section 9-1,101, R.R.S. 1997, the Department of Revenue submits herewith its charitable gaming annual report to the Governor, Legislature, Auditor of Public Accounts, and Attorney General. This report summarizes tax revenues received, expenses incurred, and other activities relating to the administration and enforcement of the Nebraska Bingo Act, the Nebraska County and City Lottery Act, the Nebraska Lottery and Raffle Act, and the Nebraska Pickle Card Lottery Act. This report covers the 1998-99 Fiscal Year.

Mary Jane Egr  
State Tax Commissioner

Jean Angell  
Administrator, Charitable Gaming Division

This report is also available on the Nebraska Department of Revenue  
Web site: [www.nol.org/revenue](http://www.nol.org/revenue)

# REVENUE, BUDGET, EXPENDITURES, AND DISTRIBUTIONS

## Revenue

During the fiscal reporting period, the Department of Revenue's Bingo and Lottery Control Administration Program was self-supporting through 40 percent of the taxes collected from bingo, lottery by pickle card, county/city lottery, and lottery/raffle activities. In addition, the program received the \$50 licensing fee for each sales agent and pickle card operator, the \$1,525 biennial licensing fee for each manufacturer-distributor of lottery supplies and equipment, the \$50 decal fee for the registration of each pickle card dispensing device, and any \$30 penalty assessed for the failure to properly register such devices. Tax revenue and license fees designated to the cash fund totaled \$2,556,365. Cash funds distributed for expenses of the Charitable Gaming Division totaled \$1,724,218. Cash funds in the amount of \$40,885 were distributed to the Athletic Commission and \$250,000 to the Compulsive Gamblers Assistance Fund. The remaining \$541,262 was not budgeted and was transferred to the general fund. For fiscal year 2000-2001, it is the intent of the Legislature to appropriate one million dollars to the Compulsive Gamblers Assistance Fund from the cash fund, if the need for such funds is demonstrated to the Legislature and if one million dollars is available. The program revenue accrued to the Charitable Gaming Operations Cash Fund and of the General Fund from July 1, 1998 through June 30, 1999, was as follows:

Receipt Type	Cash Fund	General Fund	Total
Tax Revenue	\$2,346,306	\$3,601,997	\$5,948,303
Fee Revenue	210,059	128,330	338,389
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>\$2,556,365</b>	<b>\$3,730,327</b>	<b>\$6,286,692</b>

## Budget, Expenditures, and Distributions

Expenditure Type	Budgeted Amounts*	Actual Expenditures**
Personal Services	\$1,476,320	\$1,420,381
Salaries	1,177,256	1,154,863
Benefits	299,064	265,518
Operating Expenses	203,278	162,885
Travel Expenses	85,350	88,257
Capital Outlay	85,923	52,695
<b>Total Charitable Gaming Expenses</b>	<b>\$1,850,871</b>	<b>\$1,724,218</b>
<b>Athletic Commission Expenses</b>	<b>\$42,000</b>	<b>\$40,885</b>
<b>Other Cash Fund Distributions</b>		
Compulsive Gamblers Assistance Fund		\$250,000
Available for Transfer to General Fund		541,262
<b>Total Cash Fund Distributions</b>		<b>\$2,556,365</b>

\* Annual budget amount for fiscal 1998-99.

\*\* Actual expenses, recorded on a cash basis of accounting.

# QUARTERLY BREAKDOWN

## Gross Dollars Wagered

	Third Quarter 1998	Fourth Quarter 1998	First Quarter 1999	Second Quarter 1999	Total FY 98-99
Bingo	\$ 5,168,471	\$ 4,934,863	\$ 4,685,874	\$ 4,440,755	\$ 19,229,963
Pickle Card	24,089,208	22,221,965	22,451,413	21,740,340	90,502,926
County/City Lottery	36,217,424	38,177,705	41,111,966	40,561,370	156,068,465
Lottery/Raffle	791,282	939,762	841,238	886,533	3,458,815
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$66,266,385</b>	<b>\$66,274,295</b>	<b>\$69,090,491</b>	<b>\$67,628,998</b>	<b>\$269,260,169</b>

## Tax Revenues

Bingo	\$ 155,054	\$ 148,046	\$ 140,576	\$ 133,222	\$ 576,898
Pickle Card	581,391	540,878	548,163	530,430	2,200,862
County/City Lottery	724,348	763,554	822,239	811,227	3,121,368
Lottery/Raffle	15,826	18,795	16,825	17,730	69,176
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$1,476,619</b>	<b>\$1,471,273</b>	<b>\$1,527,803</b>	<b>\$1,492,609</b>	<b>\$5,968,304*</b>

## License Fees

Cash Fund	\$ 88,950	\$86,150	\$10,325	\$8,705	\$194,130
General Fund	120,780	9,550	5,455	6,880	142,665
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$209,730</b>	<b>\$95,700</b>	<b>\$15,780</b>	<b>\$15,585</b>	<b>\$336,795</b>

\* Based upon tax returns filed; actual tax revenue received was \$5,948,303.


# YEAR IN REVIEW

For the fifth consecutive year, the total dollars wagered on all charitable gaming activities declined. During the 1998-99 reporting period, total charitable gaming dollars wagered (\$269,260,169) decreased another 2.8 percent from the previous reporting period, and has declined by 34 percent when compared to its peak year in 1994 when wagering reached \$408,222,880.


Wagering on all activities, with the exception of county/city lottery (keno), decreased during the reporting period. As was the case in the previous four reporting periods, pickle card sales showed the largest decline, down 9.8 percent. Lottery/raffle activity was down by 2.3 percent, and bingo showed only a slight change from last year decreasing by .65 percent. County/city lottery activity on the other hand recovered some ground this reporting period netting a 1.45 percent increase in wagering over last year. However, as a result of the overall decline in wagering, gaming tax revenues were also down this reporting period (5.9 percent) when compared to last year.

The availability and variety of casino gambling in Iowa and other bordering states continues to be one of the major contributing factors to the decline in Nebraska's charitable gaming wagering. Additionally, the ongoing in-state use of illegal video gaming devices continues to have a negative effect on legitimate charitable gaming operations in Nebraska.

## Total Gaming Dollars Wagered – \$269,260,169



## Total Gaming Tax Revenue – \$5,968,304\*



\* Based upon tax returns filed; actual tax revenue received was \$5,948,303.

\*\* Represents state tax only. An additional tax was imposed on the bingo gross receipts by the counties or cities in which bingo was conducted.

# 1998-99 LICENSEES

as of June 30, 1999

11	Manufacturers of Bingo Supplies/Equipment and Pickle Cards
5	Distributors of Bingo Supplies/Equipment and Pickle Cards
7	Manufacturer-Distributors of County/City Lottery Supplies and Equipment
216	Organizations Licensed to Conduct Bingo
182	Class I (Gross Receipts of less than \$150,000 per year)
34	Class II (Gross Receipts of \$150,000 or more per year)
62	Special Event Bingo Permits
653	Organizations Licensed to Conduct a Lottery by Pickle Cards
229	Class I (Selling only at own premises and/or bingo occasions)
424	Class II (Selling through pickle card operators, at own premises, and/or bingo occasions)
186	Organizations Licensed to Conduct Lotteries and Raffles
137	Counties, Cities or Villages Licensed to Conduct a Lottery
149	County/City Lottery Operators
440*	County/City Sales Outlet Locations
4,823	County/City Lottery Workers
1,210	Utilization of Funds Members (Bingo, Pickle Cards, Lottery/Raffle)
50	Gaming Managers (Bingo)
10	Commercial Lessors (Bingo)
14	Commercial Bingo Halls
678	Sales Agents (Pickle Cards)
1,495	Pickle Card Operators
2,164	Pickle Card Operator Authorizations
<hr/>	
<b>10,132</b>	<b>TOTAL LICENSEES</b>

\* Based on total number of licenses issued for 1997-99 biennial licensing period.

# LICENSED NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS AND COUNTY, CITY, OR VILLAGE LOTTERIES BY COUNTY

as of June 30, 1999

COUNTY	BINGO		PICKLE		Lottery/ Raffle	Co/City Lottery	COUNTY	BINGO		PICKLE		Lottery/ Raffle	Co/City Lottery
	Class I	Class II	Class I	Class II				Class I	Class II				
Adams	4	1	8	6	4	1	Jefferson	2		3	5		1
Antelope	1		1	3	3		Johnson	2		1	1		1
Arthur				1		1	Kearney				1		
Banner							Keith	2		3	5		1
Blaine							Keya Paha						
Boone	4		3	5	4		Kimball	2		3	1	1	1
Box Butte	5		4	2	1	2	Knox	4			2	1	1
Boyd				1			Lancaster	8	8	14	47	19	7
Brown	1		1	1	1	1	Lincoln	3		6	7	6	5
Buffalo	4	1	6	8	3	2	Logan			1			
Burt	1		2	2			Loup				1		
Butler	1		1	10	7	2	Madison	7		8	8	2	1
Cass	3		4	11	1	4	McPherson						
Cedar	2			3	2	3	Merrick	2		2	6		1
Chase	4		2			1	Morrill			1		2	2
Cherry	1		1	1			Nance	1		2	3	1	1
Cheyenne	2		1	4	1	2	Nemaha	1		2	3		2
Clay			2	4	1	2	Nuckolls	1		3	2	1	2
Colfax	7		5	3	3	3	Otoe	4		1	8		4
Cuming	4		2	4	2	1	Pawnee			1	1	1	
Custer	1			4	1	1	Perkins						2
Dakota		4	4	7	1	1	Phelps	3		2	2		1
Dawes	1		1	1		2	Pierce	4		1	4		
Dawson	6		6	5		2	Platte	6		6	6	8	4
Deuel	1		1	1		1	Polk			1	2		
Dixon				1			Red Willow	5		4	1	1	2
Dodge	5	1	7	17	3	4	Richardson	1		3	4	3	1
Douglas	6	14	42	73	70	7	Rock	1		1			
Dundy	1					1	Saline	2		3	9	2	2
Fillmore	4		3	6		2	Sarpy		3	4	20	6	6
Franklin	1			2		2	Saunders	4		3	11	8	6
Frontier				1		1	Scotts Bluff	5	1	5	3	4	6
Furnas				3		3	Seward	1		1	6		2
Gage	5		3	5	1	2	Sheridan			1	1		2
Garden	1		1				Sherman	2		2	4		1
Garfield	1		1	1			Sioux						
Gosper	1		1			1	Stanton	1		1	1		
Grant			1				Thayer	2		2	4		2
Greeley	2			2	1	3	Thomas						
Hall	7	1	9	10	3	2	Thurston				2		
Hamilton	1		1	4			Valley	3		2	3	2	
Harlan	1			1		1	Washington	2		4	6	1	2
Hayes				1			Wayne	2		1	3	1	
Hitchcock	2		2	1		2	Webster	1			4		
Holt	5		2	4	2	2	Wheeler						1
Hooker							York	1		2	4	1	2
Howard	1		1	4			<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>137</b>

# LICENSED SALES AGENTS, GAMING MANAGERS, AND PICKLE CARD OPERATORS, BY COUNTY

as of June 30, 1999

COUNTY	SALES AGENTS	GAMING MANAGERS	PICKLE CARD OPERATORS	COUNTY	SALES AGENTS	GAMING MANAGERS	PICKLE CARD OPERATORS
Adams	9	1	17	Jefferson	6		7
Antelope	8		7	Johnson	2		5
Arthur	1			Kearney	3		5
Banner				Keith	9		14
Blaine			2	Keya Paha			1
Boone	7		14	Kimball	1		2
Box Butte	3		7	Knox	4		13
Boyd	1		6	Lancaster	90	14	146
Brown	1		4	Lincoln	22		28
Buffalo	14	1	28	Logan			2
Burt	3		10	Loup	1		
Butler	10		14	Madison	16		38
Cass	18		23	McPherson			
Cedar	3		7	Merrick	6		14
Chase			2	Morrill			3
Cherry	3		13	Nance	4		9
Cheyenne	7		7	Nemaha	3		6
Clay	6		10	Nuckolls	3		3
Colfax	3		16	Otoe	11		21
Cuming	5		16	Pawnee	1		4
Custer	6		11	Perkins			3
Dakota	8	6	15	Phelps	5		5
Dawes	3		5	Pierce	5		13
Dawson	9		18	Platte	9		39
Deuel	1		3	Polk	4		14
Dixon	1		11	Red Willow	6		16
Dodge	22	1	57	Richardson	6		12
Douglas	100	19	356	Rock			3
Dundy	1		1	Saline	11		21
Fillmore	9		9	Sarpy	48	4	63
Franklin	2		4	Saunders	13		26
Frontier	2		4	Scotts Bluff	8	1	31
Furnas	4		5	Seward	12		21
Gage	11		20	Sheridan	2		4
Garden			2	Sherman	5		6
Garfield	1		4	Sioux			
Gosper	1		6	Stanton	1		4
Grant			1	Thayer	4		9
Greeley	2		6	Thomas			3
Hall	23	3	42	Thurston	2		5
Hamilton	4		8	Valley	3		8
Harlan	2		10	Washington	10		9
Hayes	1		1	Wayne	5		9
Hitchcock	1		3	Webster	4		8
Holt	6		13	Wheeler			2
Hooker			1	York	7		11
Howard	4		10	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>678</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>1,495</b>

# THE GAMES

## Bingo

For the sixth consecutive year, wagering on bingo in Nebraska declined. Bingo gross receipts for the reporting period were \$19,229,963 marking bingo's lowest wagering total in 12 years. However, bingo gross receipts were down only .65 percent from the previous reporting period, perhaps indicating a leveling-off in its downward trend.



Class I bingo receipts at \$5,447,696 were actually up this reporting period by 2.7 percent, despite a decrease in the number of organizations holding a Class I bingo licensee (182 this period as compared to 194 last year). Class II bingo receipts for the reporting period totaled \$13,752,267, a decrease of 1.9 percent from the previous reporting period with the same number of licensees (34). Although bingo suffered a 5.8 percent decrease in attendance compared to last year, the average player spend actually increased from \$19.43 to \$19.98.

Both Class I and Class II licensees showed a slight increase in bingo expenses this reporting period when compared to last year. Class I licensees also had a slight (less than one percent) increase in prizes paid, while Class II licensees had a slight decrease in prizes paid. Probably the most significant statistic to note in the bingo area during this reporting period is that for the first time since the Charitable Gaming Division started publishing annual reports (1990), Class II bingo actually showed a net profit (typically, bingo acts as a loss leader for an organization's pickle card sales at the bingo occasion). Class I bingo also showed an improvement in the bottom line this reporting period, netting a 15.04 percent profit this year as compared to only 14.63 percent last year. In all likelihood, the increase in net profit for both Class I and Class II is attributable to the elimination of the local bingo tax in its entirety effective January 1, 1999.

A decrease in taxes paid for both Class I and Class II bingo licensees is reflected in the pie charts below. Again, this decline is due to a legislative change which was effective January 1, 1999, whereby the local bingo tax of one percent of the gross receipts, was eliminated.

Although the figures on this page do not include attendance, wagering, prizes, or expenses for Special Event Bingo, it is noteworthy to mention its existence. Special Event bingo is a charitable gaming option that became available in October of 1994 and which allows an organization to forego the requirement of obtaining a regular bingo license if it wants to conduct bingo only once or twice a year at a special function, such as a county fair or school fun night. As organizations have become more familiar with Special Event Bingo, many have dropped their Class I bingo licenses, obtaining a Special Event Bingo permit instead. Special Event Bingo is exempt from the tax, record keeping, and reporting requirements in place for Class I and Class II bingo licensees. The number of Special Event Bingo permits issued during this reporting period was comparable to last year, and in all likelihood continues to be a factor in the decline of the number of Class I bingo licenses issued by the Department.

	CLASS I	CLASS II	TOTAL
<b>Bingo Gross Receipts</b> .....	<b>\$5,477,696</b>	<b>\$13,752,267</b>	<b>\$19,229,963</b>
<b>Prizes</b> .....	<b>4,020,833</b>	<b>10,330,013</b>	<b>14,350,847</b>
<b>Expenses</b> .....	<b>191,719</b>	<b>481,329</b>	<b>673,049</b>
<b>Taxes (3% State and 1% Local)*</b> .....	<b>441,429</b>	<b>2,835,920</b>	<b>3,277,348</b>
<b>Profit</b> .....	<b>\$ 823,715</b>	<b>\$ 105,005</b>	<b>\$ 928,719</b>



<b>Number of Occasions Conducted</b> .....	<b>12,949</b>
<b>Attendance Reported</b> .....	<b>962,161</b>
<b>Average Player Spend Per Occasion</b> .....	<b>\$19.98**</b>

\* The local bingo tax was eliminated effective January 1, 1999.

\*\* Does not include player spend on pickle cards sold at the bingo occasions.

# Pickle Cards


As has been the case for the past four reporting periods, pickle card sales in Nebraska declined during this reporting period and amounted to only \$90,502,926. This is a 9.8 percent decrease compared to the previous reporting period, and marked the first time since 1986 that pickle card sales failed to reach \$100,000,000. Overall, pickle card sales are down 49 percent from their peak during the 1994 reporting period when sales hit \$177,359,919. Conjointly, the number of pickle card units sold during the reporting period also declined (down 9 percent) with only 43,157 units reported sold as compared to 47,659 for the previous reporting period.

Class I licensees, those selling pickle cards only at their designated premises (club facility) and bingo occasions reported a decrease in sales of 5.4 percent going from \$16,447,398 last year to \$15,555,068 this year. For the same period, Class II licensees, those selling primarily through pickle card operators, had a decrease in sales of 10.6 percent dropping from \$83,841,612 last year to \$74,947,858 for this year. The number of organizations licensed to conduct a lottery by the sale of pickle cards also decreased again this reporting period (down from 671 to 653), with decreases for both Class I and Class II licensees.

A majority of the pickle cards sold in Nebraska continue to be through pickle card operators, although there was a decrease in that percentage again this reporting period (dropping from 73.2 percent to 72.8 percent). For the fourth consecutive year, the number of licensed pickle card operators continued to drop going from 1,551 last year to 1,495 this year. The number of pickle card dispensing devices registered during the reporting period also declined from last year, dropping by 7.5 percent (1,683 devices registered this period as compared to 1,820 for the same period last year).

No significant changes were seen this reporting period as compared to last with respect to the percentage distribution of pickle card gross proceeds for both Class I and Class II licensees (see pie charts below).

	CLASS I	CLASS II	TOTAL
<b>Pickle Card Gross Proceeds</b> .....	<b>\$15,555,068</b>	<b>\$74,947,858</b>	<b>\$90,502,926</b>
<b>Prizes</b> .....	<b>11,592,171</b>	<b>56,877,523</b>	<b>68,469,694</b>
<b>Operator Commissions</b> .....	<b>N/A</b>	<b>4,748,568</b>	<b>4,748,568</b>
<b>Expenses</b> .....	<b>937,259</b>	<b>3,365,392</b>	<b>4,302,651</b>
<b>Tax</b> .....	<b>378,270</b>	<b>1,822,592</b>	<b>2,200,862</b>
<b>Profit</b> .....	<b>\$ 2,647,368</b>	<b>\$ 8,133,783</b>	<b>\$ 10,781,151</b>



Pickle Card Dispensing Devices Registered .....	1,683
Number of Pickle Card Units Sold .....	43,157

\* The statutory pickle card tax rate is ten percent of definite profit, which translates to approximately 2.5 percent of gross proceeds.

\*\* The statutory maximum allowed for a pickle card operator commission is 30 percent of definite profit, which translates to approximately 7 percent of gross proceeds.

# Lotteries and Raffles



For the past four reporting periods, lottery/raffle gross proceeds have continued to hold fairly steady between the 3 and 3.5 million dollar mark. During this reporting period, the lottery/raffle combined gross proceeds topped out at \$3,458,815, down just a little over 2 percent when compared to the same period last year. Separating the two activities, the sale of lottery tickets (primarily cash prize drawing) actually jumped 25.8 percent; however raffle ticket sales (primarily merchandise prize drawing) dropped 39.7 percent. We caution, however, that due to the relatively small volume of lottery/raffle activity in comparison to the other charitable gaming activities, one or two large lotteries or raffles per reporting period can have a significant impact on the percent of increase or decrease for this program.

The total number of lottery/raffle licensees during this reporting period (186) remained the same as that reported for last year. A more in-depth analysis of lottery versus raffle activity was completed this year by the Charitable Gaming Division, resulting in a new pie chart being included on this page for the first time, illustrating the percentage of dollars spent on lottery v. raffle tickets. This new pie chart clearly demonstrates, at least with respect to licensed lotteries and raffles, drawings for cash or primarily cash prizes are far more prevalent than drawings for merchandise prizes.

Some shifting in the percentage distributions of lottery/raffle gross proceeds were seen this reporting period, as illustrated in the larger pie chart shown below. The prizes paid increased from 34 percent last year to 38 percent this year, and expenses dropped from 10 percent last year to 6 percent this year. Lottery/raffle profits at 54 percent remained the same as reported for the previous year.

It should be noted that the figures on this page represent only lotteries with gross proceeds (ticket sales) exceeding \$1,000, and raffles with gross proceeds exceeding \$5,000. Nonprofit organizations conducting lotteries or raffles with gross proceeds equal to or below these thresholds are not required to file reports with the Department or pay any lottery/raffle tax. A significant number of lotteries and raffles conducted in Nebraska fall within the non-reportable category.

<b>Lottery Gross Proceeds</b> .....	<b>\$2,540,023</b>	
<b>Raffle Gross Proceeds</b> .....	<b>918,792</b>	
<b>Total Gross Proceeds</b> .....		<b>3,458,815</b>
<b>Prizes</b> .....		<b>1,302,696</b>
<b>Expenses</b> .....		<b>210,177</b>
<b>Total Taxes</b> .....		<b>69,176</b>
<b>Profit</b> .....		<b>\$1,876,766</b>



\* Actual prizes awarded equaled 44 percent of the total gross proceeds; however, of this 44 percent, six percent of the prizes awarded were donated prizes.

# County/City Lotteries


After suffering three years of wagering decline, county/city lottery (keno) rebounded slightly this year showing a 1.45 percent increase over the previous reporting period. Gross wagering at \$156,068,465 represented an increase of approximately 2.2 million dollars. This increase may be due at least in part to four new communities who started conducting county/city lottery activity during the reporting period (the cities of Auburn and Wilber, and the villages of Shelton and Sprague). Despite this year's positive news, however, overall county/city lottery wagering is still down 25.2 percent from its peak wagering of \$208,670,897 during the 1995 reporting period.

Communities and lottery operators are continuing to evaluate the performance of their lotteries and considering enhancements which may restore some of the handle lost to other forms of gambling. Progressive jackpots, larger prizes, and other game enhancements to attract increased play are more prevalent. Some live ball draw operations are opting for the recently approved automated ball draw method of winning number selection or are using a random number generator method of winning number selection during their slower times in order to reduce labor costs. There continue to be concerns expressed about the statutory five-minute time requirement between games, the prohibition against player activation of keno equipment, and the requirement for a paper ticket.

Only three communities held an election on the establishment of a lottery during the reporting period as compared to eight communities for the same period last year. All three of these communities approved the operation of a lottery within their jurisdictions (see pages 12 & 13 of this report for election status details). The number of communities licensed to conduct a lottery increased to 137 during this reporting period; only 132 were licensed for the same period last year. However, of the 137 communities licensed it should be noted that 14 communities were not operating their lotteries during or at the close of this reporting period (see pages 12 & 13 for licensed but inactive lotteries).

Virtually no change was seen this reporting period as compared to last with respect to the distribution of county/city lottery gross proceeds (see pie chart below). The percent of prizes paid showed only a minimal increase rising from 75.7 to 76.1 percent, as did expenses increasing from 13.4 to 13.6 percent. Concurrently, profits decreased from 8.9 to 8.3 percent.

<b>County/City Lottery Gross Proceeds</b> .....	<b>\$156,068,465</b>
<b>Prizes</b> .....	<b>118,820,786</b>
<b>Lottery Operator Commissions</b> .....	<b>\$19,777,765</b>
<b>Other Expenses</b> .....	<b>\$ 1,334,942</b>
<b>Total Expenses</b> .....	<b>21,112,707</b>
<b>Tax</b> .....	<b>3,121,368</b>
<b>Profit</b> .....	<b>\$ 13,013,604</b>



# COUNTY/CITY LOTTERY ELECTION AND LICENSING STATUS

as of June 30, 1999

Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status	Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status
Ainsworth	Defeated			Gosper County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Albion	Defeated			Grafton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Alda	Affirmative		(b)	Grant	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Alliance	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Greeley	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Anselmo	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Greenwood	Affirmative		(b)
Arthur County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Gretna	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Ashland	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Gurley	Affirmative		(b)
Auburn	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Haigler	Affirmative		(c)
Aurora	Defeated			Hall County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Avoca	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Hardy	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Banner County	Affirmative		(b)	Harlan County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Battle Creek	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hartington	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bayard	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hastings	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beatrice	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Hay Springs	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beaver City	Affirmative		(b)	Hebron	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beaver Crossing	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Hemingford	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bee	Affirmative		(b)	Hershey	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beemer	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hickman	Defeated		
Belgrade	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Holbrook	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Bellevue	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Holdrege	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Belvidere	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Hooper	Affirmative		(c)
Benedict	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Howells	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Benkelman	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Hubbell	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bennington	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Humboldt	Affirmative		(b)
Blair	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Humphrey	Affirmative		(b)
Bloomfield	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Imperial	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Bloomington	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Indianola	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Blue Hill	Affirmative		(c)	Inglewood	Affirmative	(Part of Fremont)	Active
Blue Springs	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Kearney	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brady	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Keith County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brewster	Affirmative		(c)	Kenasaw	Defeated		
Bridgeport	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Kennard	Affirmative		(a)
Brown County	Defeated			Kimball	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brownville	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Lancaster County	Affirmative	(Part of Lincoln)	Active
Buffalo County	Defeated			LaVista	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Burr	Affirmative		(b)	Lewellen	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Cambridge	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lincoln	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cedar Creek	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Lincoln County	Affirmative	(Part of North Platte)	Active
Central City	Defeated			Lindsay	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Chadron	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lodgepole	Affirmative		(b)
Chappell	Affirmative		(b)	Long Pine	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cheyenne County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lorton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Clarkson	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Louisville	Affirmative		(c)
Columbus	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Loup City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Cortland	Affirmative		(c)	Lyman	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cozad	Defeated			Madison	Defeated		
Craig	Affirmative		(b)	Madrid	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Crawford	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Maywood	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Creston	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	McCook	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Crete	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	McGrew	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Dakota City	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Melbeta	Affirmative		(b)
David City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Memphis	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Dawson County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Merriman	Defeated		
Denton	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Milligan	Affirmative		(c)
Deuel County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Minatare	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Dodge	Affirmative		(b)	Minden	Defeated		
Dodge County	Affirmative		(b)	Monroe	Affirmative		(b)
Doniphan	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Morrill	Defeated		
Douglas County	Affirmative	(Part of Omaha)	Active (a)	Morse Bluff	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Duncan	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Mullen	Affirmative		(a)
Dwight	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Nebraska City	Defeated		
Eagle	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Nehawka	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Elkhorn	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Neligh	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Elwood	Affirmative		(b)	Norfolk	Defeated		
Ericson	Affirmative	Yes	Active	North Bend	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Exeter	Affirmative	Yes	Active	North Platte	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Fairbury	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Odell	Affirmative		(b)
Fairfield	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Omaha	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Fairmont	Affirmative		(b)	O'Neill	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Falls City	Defeated			Ong	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Fillmore County	Affirmative			Ord	Defeated		
Fort Calhoun	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Osceola	Defeated		
Franklin	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Oshkosh	Affirmative		(c)
Fremont	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Osmond	Defeated		
Fullerton	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Otoe	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Gage County	Affirmative		(b)	Overton	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Geneva	Defeated			Oxford	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Genoa	Affirmative		(b)	Palisade	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Gering	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Palmer	Defeated		
Goehner	Affirmative		(b)	Palmyra	Affirmative		(c)

Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status	Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status
Papillion	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Stanton	Affirmative		(b)
Pawnee City	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Sterling	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Peru	Affirmative		(b)	Stuart	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Platte Center	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Superior	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Plattsmouth	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Sutherland	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Pleasant Dale	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Sutton	Defeated		
Polk	Defeated			Syracuse	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Potter	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Talmage	Affirmative		(b)
Prague	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Tecumseh	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Ralston	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Terrytown	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Randolph	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Trenton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Ravenna	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Unadilla	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Raymond	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Utica	Defeated		
Roca	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Valentine	Defeated		
Rulo	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Valley	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Rushville	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (a)	Valley County	Affirmative		(a)
St. Edward	Affirmative		(a)	Valparaiso	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Sargent	Affirmative		(b)	Verdon	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Sarpy County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Waco	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Schuyler	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Wahoo	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Scotts Bluff County	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Wallace	Affirmative		(c)
Scribner	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Walthill	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Seward	Defeated			Washington County	Affirmative		(b)
Seward County	Defeated			Waterloo	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Shelby	Affirmative		(b)	Wauneta	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Shelton	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Waverly	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Sidney	Defeated			Wayne	Affirmative		(a)
Silver Creek	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (a)	West Point	Defeated		
Smithfield	Affirmative		(b)	Wilber	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Snyder	Affirmative		(a)	Wisner	Defeated		
South Bend	Affirmative		(b)	Wolbach	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
South Sioux City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Wymore	Defeated		
Spalding	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Wynot	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Sprague	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Yutan	Affirmative		(b)
Springfield	Affirmative	Yes	Active				

(a) Election valid anytime

(b) Election expired

(c) Election subject to expiration

## LOTTERIES LICENSED BY COUNTY


as of June 30, 1999

<b>Adams</b>	<b>Dakota</b>	<b>Gosper</b>	<b>Madison</b>	<b>Sarpy (cont.)</b>
City of Hastings	City of South Sioux City	Gosper County	City of Battle Creek	City of LaVista
<b>Arthur</b>	<b>Dawes</b>	<b>Greeley</b>	<b>Merrick</b>	City of Papillion
Arthur County	City of Chadron	Village of Greeley	Village of Silver Creek*	Sarpy County
<b>Box Butte</b>	City of Crawford	Village of Spalding	<b>Morrill</b>	City of Springfield
City of Alliance	<b>Dawson</b>	Village of Wolbach*	City of Bayard	<b>Saunders</b>
Village of Hemingford	Dawson County	<b>Hall</b>	City of Bridgeport	City of Ashland
<b>Brown</b>	Village of Overton	Village of Doniphan	<b>Nance</b>	Village of Memphis
City of Long Pine	<b>Deuel</b>	Hall County	Village of Belgrade*	Village of Morse Bluff
<b>Buffalo</b>	Deuel County	<b>Harlan</b>	<b>Nemaha</b>	Village of Prague
City of Kearney	<b>Dodge</b>	Harlan County	City of Auburn	Village of Valparaiso
Village of Shelton	City of Fremont	<b>Hitchcock</b>	Village of Brownville*	City of Wahoo
<b>Butler</b>	Village of Inglewood	Village of Palisade	<b>Nuckolls</b>	<b>Scotts Bluff</b>
City of David City	City of North Bend	Village of Trenton	Village of Hardy	City of Gering
Village of Dwight	City of Scribner*	<b>Holt</b>	City of Superior	Village of Lyman
<b>Cass</b>	<b>Douglas</b>	City of O'Neill	<b>Otoe</b>	Village of McGrew
Village of Avoca*	City of Bennington	Village of Stuart	Village of Lorton	City of Minatare*
Village of Eagle	Douglas County	<b>Jefferson</b>	Village of Otoe*	Scotts Bluff County
Village of Nehawka	City of Elkhorn	City of Fairbury	City of Syracuse	Village of Terrytown
City of Plattsmouth	City of Omaha	<b>Johnson</b>	Village of Unadilla	<b>Seward</b>
<b>Cedar</b>	City of Ralston	City of Tecumseh	<b>Perkins</b>	Village of Beaver
City of Hartington	City of Valley	<b>Keith</b>	City of Grant	Crossing
City of Randolph	Village of Waterloo	Keith County	Village of Madrid	Village of Pleasant Dale*
Village of Wynot	<b>Dundy</b>	<b>Kimball</b>	<b>Phelps</b>	<b>Sheridan</b>
<b>Chase</b>	City of Benkelman*	City of Kimball	City of Holdrege	City of Hay Springs
Village of Wauneta	<b>Filmore</b>	<b>Knox</b>	<b>Platte</b>	City of Rushville*
<b>Cheyenne</b>	Village of Exeter	City of Bloomfield	City of Columbus	<b>Sherman</b>
Cheyenne County	Village of Grafton	<b>Lancaster</b>	Village of Creston*	City of Loup City
Village of Potter	<b>Franklin</b>	Village of Denton	Village of Duncan	<b>Thayer</b>
<b>Clay</b>	Village of Bloomington	Lancaster County	Village of Lindsay	City of Hebron
City of Fairfield	City of Franklin	City of Lincoln	<b>Red Willow</b>	Village of Hubbell
Village of Ong*	<b>Frontier</b>	Village of Raymond	City of Indianola*	<b>Washington</b>
<b>Colfax</b>	Village of Maywood	Village of Roca	City of McCook	City of Blair
City of Clarkson	<b>Furnas</b>	Village of Sprague	<b>Richardson</b>	City of Fort Calhoun
Village of Howells	City of Cambridge	City of Waverly	Village of Rulo*	<b>Wheeler</b>
City of Schuyler	Village of Holbrook	<b>Lincoln</b>	<b>Saline</b>	Village of Ericson
<b>Cuming</b>	Village of Oxford	Village of Brady	City of Crete	<b>York</b>
Village of Beemer	<b>Gage</b>	Village of Hershey	City of Wilber	Village of Benedict
<b>Custer</b>	City of Beatrice	Lincoln County	<b>Sarpy</b>	Village of Waco
Village of Anselmo	City of Blue Springs	City of North Platte	City of Bellevue	
		Village of Sutherland	City of Gretna	


\* Licensed but inactive

# ORGANIZATIONS PARTICIPATING IN GAMING ACTIVITIES


## Bingo



## Pickle



## Lottery/Raffle



The following is a brief explanation of the Internal Revenue Code section 501(c) exemptions.

- 501(c)(3)** Corporations, funds, or foundations organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, or educational purposes, to foster amateur sports competition, or for the prevention of cruelty to children or animals.
- 501(c)(4)** Civic leagues or nonprofit organizations promoting social welfare or local associations of employees.
- 501(c)(5)** Labor, agricultural, or horticultural organizations.
- 501(c)(6)** Business leagues or chambers of commerce.
- 501(c)(7)** Clubs organized for pleasure or recreation.
- 501(c)(8)** Fraternal beneficiary societies, orders, or associations which provide for payment of life, sick, accident, or other benefits to the members or their dependents.
- 501(c)(10)** Domestic fraternal societies, orders, or associations which do not provide for payment of life, sick, accident, or other benefits.
- 501(c)(19)** A post or organization of past or present members of the Armed Forces of the U.S., or an auxiliary unit.

**Also eligible:** Volunteer Fire Departments (VFD)

Only those organizations holding an exemption under section 501(c)(3), (4), (5), (8), (10), or (19), or a volunteer fire department may apply for a license to conduct bingo or a lottery by the sale of pickle cards. Additionally, a section 501(c)(7) organization is eligible to apply for a pickle card license.

An organization holding any section 501(c) exemption or a volunteer fire department may apply for a license to conduct lotteries or raffles.

# ADMINISTRATION, AUDIT, AND ENFORCEMENT

During the 1998-99 reporting period, the Charitable Gaming Division initiated and/or resolved 85 separate administrative actions involving gaming licenses. Below is a summary of the Division's Administrative Actions:

## Administrative Orders Issued:

License Denial .....	16	
License Suspension .....	10	
Administrative fines* .....	44	(Amount collected: \$24,337)
License Revocation .....	2	
Other .....	9	

## Administrative Actions Initiated and Pending:

License Denial .....	3
License Suspension .....	1
Administrative Fine .....	2
Tax Assessment .....	1

## Administrative Orders Appealed and Resolved in Higher Court:

District Court .....	1
Nebraska Supreme Court .....	1

## Lawsuits Pending in Higher Court:

District Court .....	1
----------------------	---

\*Monies collected from the fines assessed are deposited by the State Treasurer into the Permanent School Fund for the support and maintenance of schools in Nebraska.

Temporary licenses were granted by the State Tax Commissioner to applicants where the Department sought to deny a license application in order to allow the parties to participate in gaming activities during the pendency of any administrative or court proceedings. As of the close of the annual reporting period, the following temporary licenses had been granted:

Class II Bingo .....	1
Class II Lottery by the Sale of Pickle Cards .....	1
Utilization of Funds Member .....	1
Sales Agent .....	1
Gaming Manager .....	1

**The Policy/Licensing Section** of the Charitable Gaming Division is responsible for the licensing of individuals, businesses, counties, cities, and villages, and nonprofit organizations which conduct charitable gaming activities in Nebraska. It is also responsible for implementing legislation enacted by the Nebraska Legislature relative to charitable gaming activities, identifying needed legislative changes in the charitable gaming areas which it regulates, recommending and drafting proposed legislation relative to charitable gaming, promulgating administrative regulations and revenue rulings to clarify and supplement statutory provisions, developing and publishing information guides and a biannual newsletter for gaming licensees, and the rendering of day to day opinions and interpretations of the charitable gaming laws.

During the legislative session held within this reporting period, the Nebraska Legislature did not adopt any changes to Nebraska's charitable gaming laws. However, one statutory change adopted during a previous legislative session became effective during the reporting period. On January 1, 1999, the local tax on bingo receipts was eliminated in its entirety. There were a number of legislative bills introduced during the First Session of the Ninety-Sixth Legislature which are still pending as of the date of this publication including legalization of electronic pickle cards, and modifications to the game of keno. All of these legislative proposals are primarily efforts to address declining revenues in charitable gaming activities.

During this reporting period the State Tax Commissioner authorized the formation of a study group consisting of representatives of nonprofit organizations, manufacturers, and distributors to develop and recommend changes to the regulation and use of coin and currency-operating pickle card dispensing devices in Nebraska. The study group met on two different occasions without reaching a consensus on solutions to some of the regulatory problems which exist today. Of primary regulatory concern is the determination of fair market value as it relates to the sale, lease, or rental of pickle card dispensing devices to a pickle card operator by a nonprofit organization. We anticipate drafting revisions to the existing regulations on pickle card dispensing devices in the near future to address this concern and other regulatory issues.

Revised bingo regulations have been drafted and are being prepared for public hearing during the year 2000. A revenue ruling was drafted to establish minimum regulatory requirements for the use and operation of an automated ball draw system for winning number selection in keno. An automated ball draw system reads an optical code on the keno ball representing the number of the ball selected and electronically transmits the ball number read to the computerized keno system in lieu of keno personnel having to manually enter each number selected into the system. The revenue ruling will allow keno operations using an automated ball draw system to reduce the number of staff required to operate the game.

Considerable time and effort were spent during this reporting period to prepare for the new millennium. This included converting and redesigning the Division's licensing databases. In conjunction with this conversion, many enhancements were made to the database to make it more user friendly and to eliminate data redundancies. These enhancements have enabled our licensing staff and administrative support staff to improve our operation efficiency in the processing of license applications. In addition, a computer-generated license renewal application was developed for county/city lottery licensees. The other major undertaking to prepare for the millennium change involved coordinating and overseeing the testing of all computerized keno systems in use in Nebraska to insure the systems were Y2K compliant.

The Policy/Licensing Section has also been working with the Department's Special Services Division in the further development of the Charitable Gaming Division's portion of the Department's web page. Additional enhancements are anticipated in the future.

**The Audit Section** has responsibility for the enforcement and compliance review of licensed organizations conducting bingo, pickle card, lottery/raffle and county/city lottery activity. They are also responsible for the review of certain financial aspects of the computerized keno systems that are approved by the Department for use in county/city lottery operations.

In addition to routine and requested field audits, the Audit Section conducted monthly reviews of the pickle card tax returns submitted by licensed distributors; monthly reviews of the pickle card activity of organizations with significant cash shortages; reviews of bingo paper usage reflected on quarterly reports filed by Class II bingo licensees; quarterly reviews of the tax returns submitted by organizations conducting bingo and lottery/raffle and counties, cities and villages conducting keno; annual reviews of the gaming activity reports for counties, cities, and villages conducting keno and all nonprofit organizations which were involved in charitable gaming activity. The Audit Section activity break down is as follows:

- 666 Pickle card annual reports reviewed
- 226 Bingo annual reports reviewed
- 198 Lottery/raffle annual reports reviewed
- 137 County/city lottery annual reports reviewed
- 29 Annual report nonfilers identified and referred to Investigation Division
- 28 Annual report shortages identified and referred to Investigation Division
- 8 Other annual report violations identified and referred to Investigation Division
- 29 Other annual report violations identified and referred to Policy Section and Legal Division
- 6 Field audits of bingo operations
- 29 Field audits of pickle card operations
- 17 Field audits of keno (county/city lottery) operations
- 1 Field audit of lottery/raffle
- 238 Letters written to licensees in an attempt to explain the problems with the annual reports, how a nonprofit can use the annual reports to audit themselves.
- 16 Field audit visits to explain the problems on the annual reports, take inventory, reconcile what the organization deposited into the pickle card account to the calculated amount of the funds generated from the sale of pickle cards. Memorandums, with audit findings and work papers, were prepared where there were violations of gaming laws and provided to the Legal and Investigation Divisions for further action.
- 19 Tracking of monthly reconciliations of pickle card funds for organizations reporting a shortage of funds accounted for.
- 7 Organizations remitting additional tax of \$2,262.72 as a result of the annual report review or bingo audit.
- 6 Organizations placed on legal stipulation (probation) as result of audits, including one suspension.

In February 1999, the Audit Section commenced gross receipt audits of 12 large Class I licensed bingo operations where the bingo gross receipts were approaching the requirements of a Class II bingo license status (\$150,000 in gross receipts per annum). These reviews of bingo paper usage and projected gross receipts resulted in two tax assessments of approximately \$3,000.00 collected without protest. A pickle card presentation, including handouts and transparencies, was completed for the state conference held for representatives of all Department of Nebraska Veteran of Foreign Wars Posts.

**The Investigation Division** is responsible for investigative and enforcement functions involving charitable gaming activities as well as numerous other responsibilities within the Department. Investigation Division staff members are located at various locations throughout the state, and have a variety of responsibilities relative to charitable gaming activities including general investigations, inspections, and license applicant background investigations.

The Investigation Division continues to work closely with the Charitable Gaming Division on gaming related matters in an effort to keep gaming activities as well regulated and enforced as possible, and yet flexible enough to accommodate the public. As has been the case the past few years, wagering on charitable gaming activities has continued to show a decline, however, with respect to their overall responsibilities concerning gaming activities, the decline has not had a noticeable effect on the activities of the Investigation Division. The Division continues to contact licensees and conduct on-site inspections on a regular basis. The investigations conducted by the Division are both civil and criminal in nature and following completion, a large number of those investigations are forwarded to local prosecuting attorneys for potential criminal prosecution. The Investigation Division has an excellent working relationship with various law enforcement agencies and local prosecuting attorneys throughout the State.


During the reporting period, the Investigation Division conducted the following charitable gaming-related enforcement activities:

- 46 Bingo Game Inspections
- 249 Organization Inspections (Pickle Card and Lottery/Raffle)
- 2,008 Pickle Card Operator Inspections
- 23 Decal Violations on pickle card dispensing devices
- 2 Manufacturer Inspections (Bingo and Pickle Card)
- 1 Manufacturer Background Investigation
- 11 County/City Lottery Background Investigations
- 115 Keno Compliance Visitations
- 308 Investigations resulting from alleged violations and/or complaints (all gaming activities combined)
- 8 Formal Presentations to gaming licensees or potential licensees

# APPENDIX

## Ten-Year Comparison of Total Gross Dollars Wagered by Fiscal Year

Millions of Dollars



**NOTE:** Percentages reflect increase/decrease in gross dollars wagered compared to immediately preceding fiscal year.

# Ten-Year Comparison of Gross Dollars Wagered by Activity by Fiscal Year

## Bingo

99	\$19,229,963
98	\$19,355,648
97	\$20,166,019
96	\$21,327,226
95	\$23,218,067
94	\$24,540,035
93	\$25,803,263
92	\$25,613,448
91	\$24,409,113
90	\$23,255,188

## Pickle Card

99	\$90,502,926
98	\$100,289,010
97	\$116,790,134
96	\$144,343,073
95	\$172,747,356
94	\$177,359,919
93	\$176,401,514
92	\$155,867,845
91	\$121,434,416
90	\$110,773,576

## Lottery/Raffle\*

99	\$3,458,815
98	\$3,541,481
97	\$3,343,691
96	\$3,066,071
95	\$3,258,517
94	\$2,603,287
93	\$2,910,865
92	\$2,893,116
91	\$2,165,991
90	\$2,291,084


## County/City Lotteries

99	\$156,068,465
98	\$153,834,783
97	\$160,521,574
96	\$179,430,240
95	\$208,670,897
94	\$203,719,639
93	\$171,821,310
92	\$112,282,349
91	\$55,711,626
90	\$30,229,455


\* Includes only lotteries exceeding \$1,000 in gross proceeds and raffles exceeding \$5,000 in gross proceeds.

# Ten-Year Comparison of Licensees by Fiscal Year


## Bingo




## Pickle Card



## Lottery/Raffle




## County/City Lottery




# Ten-Year Comparison of Licensees by Fiscal Year

## Pickle Card Sales Agents



## Pickle Card Operators



## Utilization of Funds Members

